

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,559	01/23/2004	Katsunori Takada	K06-165935M/TBS	3219
21254	7590 06/30/2005		EXAM	INER
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD			YEE, DEBORAH	
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VIENNA, VA	22182-3817	•	1742	

**DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comments	10/762,559	KATSUNORI TAKADA ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · ·
	Deborah Yee	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply will, by some properties of the period for reply will, by some properties of the period for reply will, by some properties of the propert	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Metatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for all		atters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und			
Disposition of Claims		·	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	idrawii iioiii consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction at	nd/or election requirement		
عرب عرب المراجع	· ·		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected t	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawir	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<u> </u>			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	eigh phonty under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docum	vente have been received	•	
<u> </u>		Application No.	
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docum</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ul>			
		n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	A reactived	
See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	n received.	
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
<ul> <li>2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB</li> </ul>		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9-15-04</u> .	6) Other:		
S. Patent and Trademark Office	o Action Cur	Dark of Darrack Land St. Co.	
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offic	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 62005	



Art Unit: 1742

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 to 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese patent 11029836 or US Patent 6,270,596 cited by applicant in IDS dated September 15, 2004.
- 3. Each reference teaches a specific steel alloy having a composition which meets the recited claims, and when calculated, satisfy the claimed equations. See Steel 7 in Table 1 of columns 5 and 6 in US Patent'596 and Steel M on page 5 of JP'836. Even though they are comparative examples, they are still considered prior art because they have been published and therefore known in the art. Also even though prior art does not teach the claimed equations, such would not be a patentable difference because it has been held that there is no invention involved in the discovery of a general formula if it covers a composition described in the prior art.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1742

5. Claims 5 to 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent 11029836 or US Patent 6,270,596 cited by applicant in IDS dated September 15, 2004.

- 6. As stated in paragraph 3, US Patent '596 steel 7 and JP'836 steel M meet the claimed composition and equation. Moreover, JP'836 in the machined English translation on page 3, paragraph 10 teaches cold working (cold forging) followed by induction hardening which meets the method claim 9 to 12 of working at 850C or lower. Also US Patent '596 in claim 1 of column 8 discloses rolling or forging at Ac3 to 1050 and therefore would overlap with applicant's range of 850C or lower. Even though the 10% or more reduction recited by the method claims is not taught by prior art, such would be a matter of choice and routine optimization well within the skill of the artisan, and also % reduction would be expected since a drive shaft, similar to applicant's invention, is being produced.
- 7. Even though prior art does not teach a ferrite-pearlite-bainite microstructure or other properties as recited by claims 5 to 8, such would be expected since composition is met, and process steps are essentially the same, and in absence of proof to the contrary. Also similar to the present invention, US patent '596 steel 7 in Table 2 of column has a surface hardness of 764 which meets the surface hardness of 650HV or higher recited in claim 5.

Art Unit: 1742

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

9. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention.

10. Claim 5 recites "tissue" which is indefinite because it is not a metallurgical term

known in the art. It is recommended to use the language such as -microstructure--- to

refer to the ferrite+pearlite+bainite.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-272-

1253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 to 2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Deborah / ee

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1742